IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

Notice of Motion No.1332 of 2006
In
Appeal No.445 of 2004
In
Notice of Motion No.359 of 2001
In
Suit No.1144 of 2000

Union of India. .. Appellant

Vs.

Suresh Jayantilal Thanawala
& Ors. .. Respondents

--

Shri S.R.Rajguru with Nisha Valani for the appellant.

Shri Brijesh Upadhyay i/b Purnanand & Co. for the respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Shri D.Rangres for Respondent No.3.

Shri V.N.Bodke for Respondent Nos.4 to 7 and 9 to 10.

--

CORAM : R.M.S.KHANDEPARKAR &
D.G.KARNIK, JJ

DATED : 30TH MARCH, 2007

**P.C.**

1. Heard. Leave to delete Respondent No.8. Amendment to be carried out forthwith.

2. Considering the facts disclosed in the affidavit-in-support of the Notice of Motion, the

failure in removal of the office objections is condoned and the Notice of Motion is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a). The Notice of Motion accordingly stands disposed of.

(R.M.S.KHANDEPARKAR, J)

( D.G.KARNIK, J)